

ON CONSTANT COEFFICIENT PDE SYSTEMS AND
INTERSECTION MULTIPLICITIES

N. K. VARDANYAN *

Chair of Numerical Analysis and Mathematical Modelling, YSU, Armenia

In this paper we consider the concept of the multiplicity of intersection points of plane algebraic curves $p, q = 0$, based on partial differential operators. We evaluate the exact number of maximal linearly independent differential conditions of degree k for all $k \geq 0$. On the other hand, this gives the exact number of maximal linearly independent polynomial and polynomial-exponential solutions, of a given degree k , for homogeneous PDE system $p(D)f = 0, q(D)f = 0$.

<https://doi.org/10.46991/PYSU:A/2020.54.2.108>

MSC2010: Primary 41A05, 41A63; Secondary 14H50.

Keywords: intersection point, multiplicity, PDE system.

Introduction. The space of all polynomials in two variables is denoted by Π . The subspace of polynomials of total degree at most m is denoted by Π_m . The two variables are denoted by $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ or sometimes by (x, y) . For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ set $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \alpha_2!$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$.

Then, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ denote

$$\mathbf{xy} = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2, \quad \mathbf{x}^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}.$$

The differential operator given by the polynomial $r \in \Pi$ is denoted by

$$r(D) := r(D_1, D_2), \quad r^{(\alpha)} := D^\alpha r := (D_1)^{\alpha_1} (D_2)^{\alpha_2} r, \quad \text{where } D_i := D_{x_i}.$$

To simplify the notation, we shall use the same letter p , say, to denote the polynomial p and the curve given by the equation $p(x, y) = 0$. Thus the notation $\lambda \in p$ means that the point λ belongs to the curve $p(x, y) = 0$. Similarly $p \cap q$ for polynomials p and q stands for the set of intersection points of the curves $p(x, y) = 0$ and $q(x, y) = 0$.

Next we bring the *PD multiplicity space* (see [1–3]) for $\lambda \in r \in \Pi$:

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda(r) = \{h \in \Pi : D^\alpha h(D)r(\lambda) = 0 \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2\}.$$

We have that (see [2]) the space $\mathcal{M}_\lambda(r)$ is D -invariant, meaning that

$$f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda(r) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \text{ and } \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda(r). \tag{1}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{Z}_0 = p \cap q$ the set of intersection points of curves $p, q \in \Pi$.

* E-mail: vardanyan.navasard@gmail.com

Definition. Suppose that $p, q \in \Pi$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_0$. Then the following space is called the multiplicity space of the intersection point λ :

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda(p, q) = \mathcal{M}_\lambda(p) \cap \mathcal{M}_\lambda(q).$$

The number $\mu_\lambda(p, q) := \dim \mathcal{M}_\lambda(p, q)$ is called the arithmetical multiplicity of the point λ .

Let

$$p = \sum_{i+j=m} a_{ij}x^i y^j, \quad q = \sum_{i+j=n} b_{ij}x^i y^j. \tag{2}$$

In the sequel we will use Resultant of p and q (see [4], section 10):

$$R(p, q) = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & a_m & & & \\ & a_0 & a_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & a_m & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \ddots \\ & & & & a_0 & a_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & a_m \\ b_0 & b_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & b_n & & & \\ & b_0 & b_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & b_n & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \ddots \\ & & & & b_0 & b_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & b_n \end{vmatrix}.$$

Here we have n rows of a 's and m rows of b 's. All other entries equal 0.

Theorem 1. (see [4], Theorem 10.7). *The homogeneous polynomials p and q given in (2) have no common factor if and only if $R(p, q) \neq 0$.*

Intersection Multiplicity as PDE System Solution. Let us start with the following result (see also Theorem 6 in [5]):

Theorem 2. (see [2], Theorem 5). *Suppose that λ is a solution of an algebraic equation $r(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, $r \in \Pi$. Then a polynomial $h \in \Pi$ belongs to the multiplicity space $\mathcal{M}_\lambda(r)$ if and only if the function*

$$y = h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\lambda \mathbf{x})$$

is a solution of the PDE

$$r(D)y = 0. \tag{3}$$

In particular, for $\lambda = \theta := (0, 0)$ the following relation holds

$$h \in \mathcal{M}_\theta(r) \iff r(D)h = 0, \text{ where } r, h \in \Pi.$$

Denote the space of polynomial-exponential solutions of PDE (3) by

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda(r) := \{y = h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\lambda \mathbf{x}) : r(D)y = 0, h \in \Pi\}.$$

For $p, q \in \Pi$, consider the following PDE system:

$$\begin{cases} p(D)f = 0, \\ q(D)f = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

The corresponding space of solutions for PDE system denote by

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda(p, q) := \mathcal{S}_\lambda(p) \cap \mathcal{S}_\lambda(q).$$

Main Result. Denote for $\lambda \in r \in \Pi$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{k,\lambda}(r) := \mathcal{M}_\lambda(r) \cap \Pi_k, \quad \mathcal{S}_{k,\lambda}(r) := \mathcal{S}_\lambda(r) \cap \Pi_k.$$

First, we are going to find the dimensions of these spaces for any k .

Of course, in view of Theorem 2 the following equality holds

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_{k,\lambda}(r) = \dim \mathcal{S}_{k,\lambda}(r), \quad r \in \Pi. \quad (5)$$

We say that λ is an m_0 -fold zero for p , if the least nonzero homogenous part of $p(\mathbf{x} + \lambda)$ is the m_0 -homogeneous part.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $p \in \Pi$ is a polynomial, for which λ is an m_0 -fold zero. Then the PD equation $p(D)f = 0$ has exactly D_k linearly independent solutions of the form*

$$h(\mathbf{x})\exp(\lambda\mathbf{x}), \quad h \in \Pi_k,$$

where D_k is the k^{th} partial sum of the following series:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i := 1 + 2 + \cdots + m_0 + m_0 + \cdots + m_0 + \cdots \quad (6)$$

In view of (5), we obtain

Corollary 1. *Suppose that $p \in \Pi$ is a polynomial, for which λ is an m_0 -fold zero. Then there are exactly D_k linearly independent polynomials in the space $\mathcal{M}_{k,\lambda}(p)$, where D_k is the k^{th} partial sum of the series (6).*

Proof of Theorem 3. Without loss of generality assume that

$$\lambda = \theta := (0, 0) \in p.$$

Suppose $f \in \Pi_m$,

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i+j \leq m} \gamma_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

Denote the k^{th} homogeneous part of f by f_k , i.e.

$$f_k(x, y) = \sum_{i+j=k} \gamma_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

Suppose that p is a bivariate polynomial of degree m_1 having m_0 fold zero at the origin:

$$p(x, y) = \sum_{m_0 \leq i+j \leq m_1} a_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

For the brevity set $m = m_0$. Evidently we have that $\mathcal{S}_{k,\theta}(p) = \Pi_k$ if $k \leq m - 1$.

Now consider the space $\mathcal{S}_{k,\theta}(p)$, where $k = m + s$, $s \geq 0$. We have $f \in \mathcal{S}_{k,\theta}(p)$ if and only if

$$p(D)f = (p_m + p_{m+1} + \cdots + p_{m+s})(D)(f_m + \cdots + f_{m+s}) = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} p_m(D)f_m + p_{m+1}(D)f_{m+1} + p_{m+2}(D)f_{m+2} + & \cdots + p_{m+s}(D)f_{m+s} \\ + p_m(D)f_{m+1} + p_{m+1}(D)f_{m+2} + & \cdots + p_{m+s-1}(D)f_{m+s} \\ + & \cdots + \\ + p_m(D)f_{m+s-1} + p_{m+1}(D)f_{m+s} & \\ + p_m(D)f_{m+s} = 0. & \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of $x^\alpha y^\beta$, where $\alpha + \beta = r$, $r = 0, \dots, s$, is obtained from the r^{th} line in above and equals to zero:

$$\sum_{i+j=m} a_{ij} \frac{(i+\alpha)! (j+\beta)!}{\alpha! \beta!} \gamma_{i+\alpha, j+\beta} + \sum_{k=1}^{s-r} \sum_{i+j=m+k} a_{ij} \frac{(i+\alpha)! (j+\beta)!}{\alpha! \beta!} \gamma_{i+\alpha, j+\beta} = 0,$$

for $\forall \alpha, \beta$ with $\alpha + \beta = r$, $r = 0, \dots, k-m$. Here we separated the first sum for the convenience.

By multiplying above equality by $\alpha! \beta!$, we get

$$\sum_{i+j=m} a_{ij} (i+\alpha)! (j+\beta)! \gamma_{i+\alpha, j+\beta} + \sum_{s=1}^{k-m-r} \sum_{i+j=m+s} a_{ij} (i+\alpha)! (j+\beta)! \gamma_{i+\alpha, j+\beta} = 0,$$

for $\forall \alpha, \beta$ with $\alpha + \beta = r$, $r = 0, \dots, k-m$. Variables present in the first sum are $\gamma_{0m+r}, \gamma_{1m+r-1}, \dots, \gamma_{mr}, \gamma_{m+1r-1}, \dots, \gamma_{m+r0}$. The corresponding main matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 c_0 & a_1 c_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_m c_m & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 c_1 & a_1 c_2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_m c_{m+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_0 c_{k-m-1} & a_1 c_{k-m} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_m c_{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & a_0 c_{k-m} & a_1 c_{k-m+1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_m c_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where we set for the brevity $a_i = a_{im-i}$ and $c_i = i!(m-i+r)!$.

By dividing the i^{th} column by c_i , we get the following $(k-m+1) \times k$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_m & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_m & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $p_m \neq 0$, in view of Theorem 1, we get that the above matrix is full (row) rank. Thus we get that all $1+2+\dots+(s+1)$ conditions are independent and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{S}_{k,\theta}(p) &= \dim \Pi_k - [1 + \cdots + (s+1)] = [1 + \cdots + (k+1)] - [1 + \cdots + (s+1)] \\ &= [1 + \cdots + m] + [(m+1) + \cdots + (m+s+1)] - [1 + 2 + \cdots + (s+1)] \\ &= \dim \Pi_{m-1} + m(s+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that $\dim \mathcal{S}_{k,\theta}(p) = 1 + \cdots + (m-1) + m(k-m+2)$, where $k \geq m-1$. \square

For the next result we accept a very common restriction from the theory of intersection. Namely, we assume that the two polynomials p and q have no common tangent line at an intersection point $\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_0$. This means that the lowest homogeneous parts of the polynomials $p(\mathbf{x} + \lambda)$ and $q(\mathbf{x} + \lambda)$ have no common factor.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that polynomials $p, q \in \Pi$ have no common tangent line at an intersection point $\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_0$. Suppose also that for p and q the point λ is an m_0 and n_0 -fold zero, respectively, $m_0 \leq n_0$. Then the PDE system has exactly D_k linearly independent solutions of the form*

$$h(\mathbf{x})\exp(\lambda\mathbf{x}), \quad h \in \Pi_k,$$

where D_k is the k^{th} partial sum of the following series:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i := 1 + 2 + \dots + (m_0 - 1) + \underbrace{m_0 + \dots + m_0}_{n_0 - m_0 + 1} + (m_0 - 1) + \dots + 1 + 0 + \dots + 0 + \dots \quad (7)$$

Corollary 2. *Suppose that polynomials $p, q \in \Pi$ have no common tangent line at an intersection point $\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_0$. Suppose also that for p and q the point λ is an m_0 and n_0 -fold zero, respectively, $m_0 \leq n_0$. Then there are exactly D_k linearly independent polynomials in the space $\mathcal{M}_{k,\lambda}(p, q)$, where D_k is the k^{th} partial sum of the series (7).*

Proof of Theorem 4. Without loss of generality assume that

$$\lambda = \theta := (0, 0) \in \mathcal{Z}_0.$$

Suppose that p and q are bivariate polynomials of degree m_1 and n_1 having m_0 and n_0 -fold zero at the origin, respectively:

$$p(x, y) = \sum_{m_0 \leq i+j \leq m_1} a_{ij}x^i y^j, \quad q(x, y) = \sum_{n_0 \leq i+j \leq n_1} b_{ij}x^i y^j.$$

For brevity set $m = m_0$ and $n = n_0$. Suppose that $m \leq n$. Let $f \in \Pi$:

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i+j} \gamma_{ij}x^i y^j.$$

Note that

$$p_l(D)f_{l+s} = \sum_{\alpha+\beta=s} \sum_{i+j=l} a_{ij} \frac{(i+\alpha)!}{\alpha!} \frac{(j+\beta)!}{\beta!} \gamma_{i+\alpha, j+\beta} x^{s-\alpha} y^{s-\beta}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_k(p, q) := \mathcal{S}_\theta(p, q) \cap \Pi_k.$$

Evidently we have that $\mathcal{S}_{k,\theta}(p, q) = \mathcal{S}_{k,\theta}(p)$, if $k \leq n - 1$.

Consider the case $k = n + s$, $s \geq 0$. We have that $f \in \mathcal{S}_{n+s}(p, q)$ if and only if

$$p(D)f = [p_m + p_{m+1} + \dots + p_{n+s}](D)(f_m + f_{m+1} + \dots + f_{n+s}) = 0$$

and

$$q(D)f = [q_n + q_{n+1} + \dots + q_{n+s}](D)(f_n + f_{n+1} + \dots + f_{n+s}) = 0.$$

Thus, to the obtained conditions for p , we add the following $1 + \dots + (s + 1)$ for q :

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(D)f_n + q_{n+1}(D)f_{n+1} + q_{n+2}(D)f_{n+2} + \dots + q_{n+s}(D)f_{n+s} \\ + q_n(D)f_{n+1} + q_{n+1}(D)f_{n+2} + \dots + q_{n+s-1}(D)f_{n+s} \\ + \dots + \\ + q_n(D)f_{n+s-1} + q_{n+1}(D)f_{n+s} \\ + q_n(D)f_{n+s} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{i+j=n} b_{ij} \frac{(i+\alpha)!}{\alpha!} \frac{(j+\beta)!}{\beta!} \gamma_{i+\alpha, j+\beta} + \sum_{k=1}^{s-r} \sum_{i+j=n+k} b_{ij} \frac{(i+\alpha)!}{\alpha!} \frac{(j+\beta)!}{\beta!} \gamma_{i+\alpha, j+\beta} = 0$$

for $\forall \alpha, \beta$, with $\alpha + \beta = r$, $r = 0, \dots, s$. In the same way as in the proof of the previous theorem, by using Theorem 1, we get that all these conditions are independent together with the described conditions for p . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{S}_{n+s}(p, q) &= \dim \mathcal{S}_{n+s}(p) - (1 + 2 + \dots + s + (s + 1)) \\ &= (1 + 2 + \dots + (m - 1)) + m(n + s + 2 - m) - (1 + 2 + \dots + s + (s + 1)) \\ &= (1 + 2 + \dots + (m - 1)) + m(n - m + 1) + (m - 1) + (m - 2) + \dots + (m - s - 1). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $s = m - 2$ we get that

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_{m+n-2}(p, q) = m(m - 1) + m(n - m + 1) = nm.$$

In the case of $s = m - 1$ we get that

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_{m+n-1}(p, q) = m(m - 1) + m(n - m + 1) + 0 = nm.$$

This means that there is no polynomial of degree $m + n - 1$ in $\mathcal{S}_{m+n-1}(p, q)$. Hence in view of D -invariance we conclude readily that there is no polynomial of degree $\geq m + n - 1$ in $\mathcal{S}_\theta(p, q)$. \square

In particular, we obtained a result of Avagyan (Theorem 3 in [6]) stating that $\dim \mathcal{S}_\lambda(p, q) = m_0 n_0$, if the conditions of Theorem 4 hold.

Received 21.02.2020

Reviewed 10.04.2020

Accepted 17.04.2020

REFERENCES

1. Marinari M.G., Möller H.M., Mora T. On Multiplicities in Polynomial System Solving. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348** (1996), 3283–3321. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-96-01671-6>
2. Hakopian H.A. ‘A Multivariate Analog of Fundamental Theorem of Algebra and Hermite Interpolation’. In: Bojanov B.D. (ed.) *Proceedings of the International Conference on Constructive Theory of Functions, Varna, June 19-23, 2002*, Darba, Sofia (2003) 1–18.
3. Hakopian H.A., Tonoyan M.G. Partial Differential Analogs of Ordinary Differential Equations and Systems. *New York J. Math.* **10** (2004), 89–116.
4. Walker R.J. *Algebraic Curves*. Springer, Heidelberg (1978).
5. Hakopian H.A., Tonoyan M.G. ‘On a Multivariate Theory’. In: Bojanov B.D. (ed.) *Approximation Theory. A Volume Dedicated to Blagovest Sendov*, Darba, Sofia (2002), 212–230.
6. Avagyan G.S. On Multiplicity of Intersection Point of Two Plane Algebraic Curves. *J. Contemp. Math. Anal.* **45** (2010), 123–127. <https://doi.org/10.3103/S1068362310030015>

Ն. Կ. ՎԱՐԴԱՆՅԱՆ

ՆԱՍՏԱՏՈՒՆ ԳՈՐԾԱԿԻՑՆԵՐՈՎ ՄԱՍՆԱԿԱՆ ԱԾԱՆՑՅԱԼՆԵՐՈՎ ՆԱՍԱԿԱՐԳԵՐԻ ԵՎ ԿՈՐԵՐԻ ՆԱՏՈՒՄՆԵՐԻ ՊԱՏԻԿՈՒԹՅԱՆ ՎԵՐԱԲԵՐՅԱԼ

Այս հոդվածում քննարկվում է հարթ հանրահաշվական $p, q = 0$, կորերի հաբման կետերի պարիկության հասկացությունը՝ հիմնված մասնակի ածանցյալներով փրվող օպերատորների վրա: Մենք որոշում ենք k աստիճանի առավելագույն գծորեն անկախ դիֆերենցիալ պայմանների ճշգրիտ քանակը բոլոր ոչ բացասական k -երի համար: Մյուս կողմից սա փայլա է $p(D)f = 0$, $q(D)f = 0$ մասնական ածանցյալներով համասեռ հասարումների համակարգի k աստիճանի մաքսիմալ գծորեն անկախ բազմանդամային և էքսպոնենցիալ-բազմանդամային լուծումների ճշգրիտ քանակը:

Н. К. ВАРДАНЯН

О СИСТЕМАХ УРАВНЕНИЙ В ЧАСТНЫХ ПРОИЗВОДНЫХ С ПОСТОЯННЫМИ КОЭФФИЦИЕНТАМИ И КРАТНОСТЯХ ПЕРЕСЕЧЕНИЙ КРИВЫХ

В этой статье рассматривается понятие кратности точек пересечения плоских алгебраических кривых $p, q = 0$ на основе операторов в частных производных. Мы определяем точное число максимальных линейно независимых дифференциальных условий степени k для всех неотрицательных k . С другой стороны, это дает точное число максимальных линейно независимых полиномиальных и полиномиально-экспоненциальных решений заданной степени k для однородной системы уравнений в частных производных $p(D)f = 0$, $q(D)f = 0$.